

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/893,166	06/27/2001	Raphael Schlanger	01-396	4513
7	590 03/28/2003			
Robert H. Bachman BACHMAN & LaPOINTE, P.C. Suite 1201			EXAMINER	
			BELLINGER, JASON R	
900 Chapel Street New Haven, CT 06510-2802			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			3617	
			DATE MAILED: 03/28/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

BEST AVAILABLE COPY



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231					
SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT		ORNEY DOCKETT NO.	
09/893,166	6/27/01	Schlanger	C	1-396	
			EXAM	INER	
			J. Bel	1/1/200	
		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		•	3617	7	
			DATE MAILED:		
	Ε	XAMINER INTERVIEW SUMMARY R	ECORD		
All participants (applicant,	applicant's representativ	e, PTO personnel):			
" M= 1);	1/in- 51	10 00-	Taxon Boll	7,00	
(1) -777 - 122 +7	1/ 1/	(3)	1 1		
(2) MT. KUS	sell 5100,	ne (4) Ra	phael Sc	hlanger	
Date of interview3	, ,	, 3	•	\supset	
		to applicant applicant's representative	9).		
Exhibit shown or demonst	ration conducted: Yes	No. If yes, brief description:			
Claims discussed:	roposed a	or all of the claims in question. Bowas not readened claim so	emple	mussen;	
Thompson,	•				
Description of the general	nature of what was agree	ed to if an agreement was reached, or any othe	r comments: Discussed	breath of	
proposed claim	s and nece	ssity of expanded sea	irch of art. D.	iscussed that	
proposed meth	od claims are	considered a separate "inve	ntion" and would b	e restricted;	
a different cla	ass if submitted	d. Discussed pretension a	spect of proposes	claim 123 a	
its relevance	to prior art.	Interference fit aspect of	Claim 126 would k	be considered a	
(A fuller description, if nec attached. Also, where no	essary, and a copy of the copy of the amendments	amendments, if available, which the examiner which would render the claims allowable is ava	agreed would render the claims allable, a summary thereof must	allowable must be clear be attached.)	
		a separate record of the substance of the interv		embod	
WAIVED AND MUST INC	LUDE THE SUBSTANCE	ndicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN I E OF THE INTERVIEW (e.g., items 1-7 on the rong one month from this interview date to provide	everse side of this form). If a re	sponse to the last Office	
☐ 2. Since the examin	er's interview summary a	bove (including any attachments) reflects a cor	mplete response to each of the c	bjections, rejections and	

requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the substance of the interview unless

box 1 above is also checked.